

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,085	04/11/2001	Yao Liang	ALCATEL 132489	8867	
24587	7590 08/01/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ALCATEL I		RUDY, ANDREW J			
	UAL PROPERTY DEPART NO PARKWAY, MS LEGL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PLANO, TX		-	3627		
			DATE MAIL ED: 08/01/2000	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/8	333,085	LIANG ET AL.	· .
		Exa	miner	Art Unit	
		Andı	ew Joseph Rudy	3627	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun			rith the correspondence a	ddress
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N cisions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum s re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE C s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. latutory period will apply y will, by statute, cause t	OF THIS COMMUNION no event, however, may a rand will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status					
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) file. This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action for allowance ex	n is non-final. scept for formal mat	·	e merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 21-34 is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction Papers The specification is objected to by the	re withdrawn from			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected that any objected the oath or declaration is objected the second sec	: a) accepted ection to the drawing the correction is	g(s) be held in abeya required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have documents have of the priority do onal Bureau (PC	e been received. e been received in a cuments have been T Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	I Stage
2)	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (in the control of the cont		Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PT 	'O-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/833,085 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's June 12, 2006 Declaration has been reviewed. The previous rejection is withdrawn pursuant thereto. Applicant is requested to clarify if the material associated with the Declaration was ever published, and if so when. Claims 21-34 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1, line 4, "physical" is not clear as to its meaning.

Claim 20, line 6, "physical" is not clear as to its meaning.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3627

5. Claims 1-20, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Johnson et al, US 5,712,989.

Johnson discloses a communication network system comprising an asset manager, e.g. inventory database, off-line, e.g. distributor list price, and on-line assets, e.g. database 20, 50, e.g. inventory 52, 54, an information link, e.g. 10. Johnson does not disclose a physical asset manager. Official Notice that a physical asset manager has been common knowledge in the art. To have provided such for Johnson would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for having done such would have been to implement a common knowledge management system.

6. Claims 1-20, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's disclosure.

Applicant's prior art disclosure, e.g. June 12, 2006 Amendment referencing Applicant's specification, page 1, lines 18-25, encompasses in broad scope and content Applicant's claim language. It is noted that Applicant's claim language is not limited to an one physical network management system. The fact is that two separate physical network management systems may be used to implement the claim language and fully encompass such. The term integral is not present in the claim language. Even if this term were included, it would include two separate physical network management systems.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Andrew Joseph Rudy

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627